

Single Bench

CF 1502 (3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPURWRIT PETITION NO. 522 /2005PETITIONER

P.R. No. 522/05
 Presented by Shri D.K. Congre
 dated 14.2.05

Pramod Kumar Kannoje, aged about 38 years, son of Shri J.R. Kannoje, occupation – service, presently posted as Assistant Teacher, in the Model Boys Higher Secondary School, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUSRESPONDENTS

RECEIVED COPY
 14.2.05
 CLERK to A. G. ELASTUR

1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through : the Secretary, Department of S.C., S.T. Other Backward Class Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
2. The Director, Department of S.C., S.T. Other Backward Class Welfare, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
3. The Joint Director (Audit and Accounts), Raipur (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 / 227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA,

94

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION NO. 577 OF 2005

Petitioner : Pramod Kumar Kannoje

versus

Respondents : The State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION NO. 5116 OF 2005

Petitioner : Gaiind Ram Sahu

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. Indrasen Sahu, counsel for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Sao, Government Advocate for the State/
respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 22nd day of December, 2006)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondents/State jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj vs. Municipal Corporation, Durg and another*¹.

2. For the aforementioned reasons, the writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.Ed./B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.
3. A copy of this order be placed on the record of the connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge